## Case 1:24-cr-00098-JLT-SKO Document 25 Filed 03/13/25 Page 1 of 3

1	MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney ANTONIO J. PATACA Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099  Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	United States of America	
8		FATER DISTRICT COLUDT
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NOS. 1:24-CR-00098-JLT-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO VACATE STATUS CONFERENCE AND SET TRIAL
13	v.	
14	DARMANJOT SINGH,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorney of record, Assistant United States	
18	Attorney ANTONIO J. PATACA, and defendant DARMANJOT SINGH, both individually and by and	
19	through his counsel of record, TIMOTHY HENNESSY, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for a status on March 19, 2025.	
21	2. By this stipulation, the parties jointly move to vacate the status hearing set for March 19,	
22	2025, set trial for October 15, 2025, and exclude time between March 19, 2025, and October 15, 2025,	
23	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), Local Code T-4.	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes reports, photographs, videos, audio recordings, criminal history records, etc All of	
27	this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection	
28	and copying.	

STIPULATION 1

## Case 1:24-cr-00098-JLT-SKO Document 25 Filed 03/13/25 Page 2 of 3

Counsel for defendant desires additional time consult with his client, review b) 1 2 discovery, and prepare for trial. Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested 3 c) 4 continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking 5 into account the exercise of due diligence. The government does not object to the continuance. d) 6 7 Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the 8 9 original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, 10 11 et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of March 19, 2025, and October 15, 12 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code 13 T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best 14 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 15 16 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the 17 Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial 18 must commence. 19 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 20 Dated: March 11, 2025 MICHELE BECKWITH 21 Acting United States Attorney 22 23 ANTONIO J. PATACA **Assistant United States Attorney** 24 25 Dated: March 11, 2025 /s/ TIMOTHY P. HENNESSY 26 TIMOTHY P. HENNESSY Counsel for Defendant

**Darmanjot Singh** 

27

28

## Case 1:24-cr-00098-JLT-SKO Document 25 Filed 03/13/25 Page 3 of 3

STIPULATION 3